

14th ANNUAL WORKSHOP 2018

COMPREHENSIVE, SYNCHRONOUS, HIGH FREQUENCY MEASUREMENT OF INFINIBAND NETWORKS IN PRODUCTION HPC SYSTEMS

Benjamin Allan, Michael Aguilar, and Serge Polevitzky

[ April, 2018 ]

#### 

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. SAND No. 201X-000X.



SAND Number: SAND2018-3506 C

## OUTLINE

- Why Synchronous Performance Data Gathering?
- Challenge of Pulling Performance Data from Big HPC Fabrics
  - How Previous Experience Shaped Our Approach
- Experiments
- Results
- Conclusions
- Questions?



# WHY DO WE CARE ABOUT STRICT SAMPLE INTERVALS?

3

## STRICT SAMPLING INTERVALS

 We are looking for network related issues that slow our computational performance.



## STRICT SAMPLING INTERVALS

- Easier to correlate performance data with running jobs.
- Shorter sampling intervals allow us to more easily see dynamic changes in network traffic.



Э

## STRICT SAMPLING INTERVALS

 The more infrequently we gather performance statistics, the more we smooth away information. Peaks get hidden.





# CHALLENGE OF PULLING PERFORMANCE DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS

## CHALLENGE OF PULLING DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS

- We want to create the least interference to network traffic for running applications.
- We want the minimal retrieval time for our queries.



## CHALLENGE OF PULLING PERFORMANCE DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS

Requests are made to the switch and then the switches retrieve performance metric data.



## CHALLENGE OF PULLING PERFORMANCE DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS





#### Δt





## CHALLENGE OF PULLING DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS

 For a sampler on a single node serially querying a list of IB ports, we define spread as the time interval between the start of the first port query made and the end of the last port query made.



## CHALLENGE OF PULLING DATA FROM BIG HPC FABRICS

- Previous experiments showed us that gathering switch port information from closely connected samplers is better than having queries traverse the fabric to a far switch.
- Previous experiments showed us that up to 1 Hz sampling rates do not negatively affect application traffic.





Skybridge----Top 500 rank of 381, 1848 Compute Nodes (6/2017)



## **EXPERIMENTS**

- A very large 3-tier Fat Tree
- Sampling in our demonstration is performed using Skybridge Administrative Nodes.
- 268 Switches, 9648 Switch Ports







### RESULTS

- Individual port query time statistics for 10 Samplers on Skybridge:
  - Retrieval time for each switch port
    - avg 0.00014
    - min 0.000048
    - max 0.013 <== 75 Hz maximum practical sampling frequency.
  - Time for a sampler to collect its share of ports:
    - min 0.105
    - max 0.224
    - avg 0.149

### RESULTS

#### Port query time statistics for 1 Sampler on Skybridge

- Retrieval time for each switch port
  - avg 0.00065 s
  - min 0.000074 s
  - max 0.0038 s
- Time for a single sampler to collect all ports on all switches:
  - min 6.05 s
  - Max 6.42 s
  - avg 6.17 s

#### No IB errors were detected during the tests (we checked).

## **RESULTS (3 MINUTES)**

#### Switch ib101 Port 30 During IB Bandwidth Test and sleep() Loop





UTC sec

## **RESULTS (30 MINUTES)**

#### Switch ib101 Port 30 During IB Bandwidth Test and sleep() Loop



#### rate PortXmitData

## **RESULTS (2 MINUTES)**

ib101 port 30 rcv (blue)/port31 xmit (red)

rates @1Hz sampling





# CONCLUSIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

## CONCLUSIONS

#### • We can scan the entire fabric on a large system in fixed time intervals.

- A Single IBFabric Sampler running on a Single Skybridge Admin node, sampling all of the Skybridge InfiniBand switches can be done every 20s
- When we have samplers running concurrently on 10 Skybridge Admin nodes, sampling can be done at 1Hz.
- If we sample one switch per compute node, sampling can be done at 10 Hz.
- We were able to see network performance data for our test traffic.
- We can sample a full suite of performance and error metrics from the switches without inducing errors.
- We saw VL15 drops on the Slurm and OpenSM node on ~1 minute periodic basis. Which service that is running in the background is causing this?

## ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

## Steve Monk, Mark Schmitz, Joe Mervini



# **QUESTIONS?**



14<sup>th</sup> ANNUAL WORKSHOP 2018

## THANK YOU Benjamin Allan, Michael Aguilar, Serge Polevitzky Sandia National Laboratories



SAND Number: SAND2018-3506 C