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The promise of Cloud Computing
✓ Virtually infinite computing resources on demand.
✓ No up-front commitment by cloud users.
✓ Pay for use of computing resources as needed.
✓ Economies of scale (large data centers).
✓ Simplified operation via resource virtualization.
✓ Higher hardware utilization by multiplexing workloads, even from different organizations.

...Cloud simplified operation?
- From managing physical resources to managing virtual resources
- Scaling for changing load requirements
- Resource idling/overprovisioning for stateful services like data bases
- Monitoring resource health
- System upgrades,
- ...
Serverless Computing

User
- Writes Cloud Function
- Defines event to trigger running the function

Serverless system
- Server instantiation
- Resource scaling/elasticity … auto-configuration
- Fault tolerance
- Logging
- Maintenance

✓ User: No cluster setup/management
✓ User: Fine grained, sub-seconds billing
✓ Provider: optimize resource utilization

AWS Lambda, IBM Cloud Functions, Google Functions, Azure Functions, databricks serverless ...
Fundamental Differences to conventional Cloud Computing

The abstraction of executing a piece of code instead of first allocating resources on which to execute that code then.

- 
- 
- Paying for the code execution instead of paying for resources allocated to executing the code.
- 
- 

Decoupling of computation and storage; they scale separately and are priced independently.
A simple Serverless Function

Real time events

Trigger

Function

Database

Data is loaded into system

Function processes data and stores result in DB

Result immediately becomes available for query/analyze

Web applications/backends (IoT, chatbots, ...)
Real time data processing (image transcoding, ...)
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Can we use Serverless Computing for Analytics and ML?

While preserving Serverless Model:

✓ Exploit massive parallelism
✓ Compose multiple stages reflecting changing resource requirements

Challenges:

- Efficient function instantiation
- Efficient and fine grained coordination between functions and stages (pub/sub, DQ)
- Efficient communication of ephemeral data between stages

Why is the handling of those Ephemeral Data so difficult?
A MapReduce Job on a managed Cluster

- Ephemeral data mostly written and read **locally**
- Ephemeral data **directly** exchanged between tasks
MapReduce in a Serverless World

- No direct communication between tasks
- Tasks are short living
  - Tasks are stateless
  - Read and write from distributed storage service
  - Cannot directly pass state and data from function to function

Efficiency of job execution highly dependent on storage service’s
  - Performance, and
  - Elasticity
Requirements for Serverless Ephemeral Storage: Performance

High performance for a wide range of object sizes

- Todays KV stores have value size limits
- Object stores have access delay limits
Requirements for Serverless Ephemeral Storage: Elasticity

Fine grained billing reflecting used resources:
- Dynamically decide on storage service requirements
  1. Amount of storage required
  2. Storage performance required (including storage media type)
  3. Data persistency/lifetime (inter-function vs. intra-function)
- Efficiently support jobs with varying storage requirements during job execution

✓ User benefit:
  • Allows for fine grained billing
  • Allows for cost optimization

✓ Provider benefit
  • Allows for optimal resource usage
Which Data Store for Ephemeral Data?

- **Object Store, such as S3**
  - Good for large data sets
  - Inefficient for small data sets

- **KV Store, such as Redis, Memcached, AWS ElastiCache**
  - Good for small data sets
  - Inconvenient to store large data sets (indirection)
  - No dynamic scaling
  - DRAM only (costly)

- **Apache Crail (incubating) data store**
  - Lowest delay for small data sets
  - Highest throughput for large data sets
  - Multi-tier (DRAM RDMA/TCP, NVMeF, block store) in unified namespace
  - Explicit data location control possible
  - Can use RDMA
  - No dynamic scaling (yet)
Is RDMA a good fit for Serverless Computing?

**PRO**: Known RDMA Usage Benefits

- Low delay access
- Arbitrary sized objects
- Low CPU load

**CONTRA**: The RDMA Setup Costs

- **Function resource setup costs**
  - RDMA objects (QP, PD, CQ, …)
  - Memory Registration
    - Local memory pinning and translation

- **Remote service setup costs**
  - RDMA objects (some RDMA objects may be reusable)

- **Both sides (function + storage service)**
  - Connection setup
    - Think about connectionless RDMA
  - Credential exchange with peer (for RDMA Read/Write)
The Development Environment

- Runtime environment: Kubernetes, Docker
- Cloud Functions: Apache OpenWhisk
- Ephemeral data store: Apache Crail

- Physics:
  - 4 nodes x86 cluster
  - 100Gbs RoCE
  - Samsung 960 pro NVMe m.2

---

Fast Network (100Gb/s RoCE, etc.)

- TCP
- RDMA
- NVMf
- SPDK

Data Processing Framework (Spark, TensorFlow, λ Compute, ...)

- FS
- Streaming
- KV
- HDFS

Apache Crail

- DRAM
- NVMe
- PCM
- GPU
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How to attach Serverless Function to an RDMA enabled Ephemeral Data Store?

- How to balance performance and elasticity?
- How to make integration as seamless as possible?
Function directly talks RDMA to Data Store

**PRO**
- Native RDMA integration
- Fast communication

**CONTRA**
- Unacceptable resource setup cost on each function invocation
- Pass-through of RDMA device (Kubernetes, Docker)

**POTENTIAL SOLUTION**
- Warm container caches:
  - Functions
  - Connections
  - RDMA resources (memory registration etc.)
- Connectionless RDMA service
Function talks via remote Proxy to Data Store

**PRO**
- Serverless framework does not need to integrate with RDMA
- Elastic: no persistent state in function container
- Proxy can be persistent, keeping RDMA resources and storage state

**CONTRA**
- Performance penalty
  - Data copy
  - Data two times on the wire

**POTENTIAL SOLUTION**
- Try co-locating function and proxy containers on same physical host
Function talks via host local Proxy to Data Store

**PRO**
- Serverless framework does not need to integrate with RDMA
- Elastic: no persistent state in function container
- Proxy can be persistent, keeping RDMA resources and storage state
- Shared memory: zero copy possible
  - Application handles data in shared memory
  - Shared memory is RDMA registered

**CONTRA**
- One storage proxy per physical host
**PRO**
- Serverless framework does not need to integrate with RDMA
- Direct communication between function and storage client
- RDMA resources and storage state can be kept persistent for ‘hot’ container

**CONTRA**
- Resource setup efficiency limited to lifetime of ‘hot’ container
- Pass-through of RDMA device (Kubernetes, Docker)
RDMA Storage as attachable Persistent Volume

PRO
- Clean integration like any other Volume (Redis, NFS, S3, …)
- RDMA resource caching at own discretion

CONTRA
- Potentially more layers of software hiding RDMA benefits (FUSE, …)
- Serverless framework today unaware of persistent volumes
  - Containers are instantiated w/o persistent volumes
  - No Function’s API to access mounted volume

POTENTIAL SOLUTION
- Extend serverless framework to work with persistent volumes
  - Mounting
  - Storage API
Our (tentative) Choice

- Direct Cloud Function attached RDMA interface seems unreasonable
- Investigating storage proxy, storage client, and persistent volume variants
- Persistent Volume too ambitious…for now…work in progress…

- Started evaluation with remote proxy
Detailed System Setup

- **Containerized Apache Crail instance**
  - RDMA/DRAM tier
  - NVMeF tier

- **Physically distributed data store**
  - Data nodes on all machines (DRAM + NVMeF)

- **Single containerized storage proxy**
  - Runs Crail storage client on some physical node
  - Maintains RDMA connections to Crail name node and data nodes
  - Accepts connections from remote container’s functions via TCP/IP
  - Converts storage requests
  - Ready to move closer to function’s container
Our first test Application: MapReduce Bucket Sorting

- **MapReduce Sorting:**
  1. Read data from persistent store into map functions
  2. Write intermediate data from map functions to Ephemeral Data store
  3. Read intermediate data from Ephemeral Data store into reduce functions
  4. Merge, reduce and write back to long term storage

- **Bucket sort algorithm**
  - Map and Reduce functions written for Apache OpenWhisk

- **Sorting 100 GB**
- **Two storage tiers**
  - NVMeF
  - DRAM
- **4 Systems**
- **256 map functions**
- **1 .. 256 reduce functions**
- **Work in progress**
Conclusions

- **Serverless Computing**
  - Promising technology, the next natural step after Cloud computing
  - Ease of programming
  - Automated resource management
  - Pay-as-you-go
  - Many unsolved issues (security, ephemeral and durable storage, networking, management, …)
  - Solving those issues will make Serverless Computing attractive for almost all applications

- **Our current effort:**
  - Focus on the Ephemeral Storage aspect
  - Integrate Apache Crail backed Ephemeral Data Store
    - Promising high performance, cost efficiency
    - May use RDMA if available

- **Other related activities:**
  - Check ‘Pocket’ project with architectural similarities for very promising results using Crail, even w/o RDMA
    [https://www.usenix.org/conference/osdi18/presentation/klimovic](https://www.usenix.org/conference/osdi18/presentation/klimovic)
  - Flexible provisioning/autoscaling with Apache Crail
  - Add distributed communication primitives to the store
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