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WHAT IS MPICH?

- MPICH is a high-performance and widely portable open-source implementation of MPI
- It provides all features of MPI that have been defined so far (up to and include MPI-3.1)
- Active development lead by Argonne National Laboratory and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
  - Several close collaborators who contribute features, bug fixes, testing for quality assurance, etc.
  - IBM, Microsoft, Cray, Intel, Ohio State University, Queen’s University, Mellanox, RIKEN AICS and others
- Current stable release is MPICH-3.2
- Latest release is MPICH-3.3a2
- [www.mpich.org](http://www.mpich.org)
MPICH: GOAL AND PHILOSOPHY

- MPICH aims to be the preferred MPI implementation on the top machines in the world
- Our philosophy is to create an “MPICH Ecosystem”
MOTIVATION

- Why OFI/OFIWG?
  - Support for diverse hardware through a common API
  - Actively, openly developed
    - Bi-weekly calls
    - Hosted on Github
  - Close abstraction for MPI
    - MPI community engaged from the start
  - Fully functional sockets provider
    - Prototype code on a laptop
Introducing the CH4 device

- Replacement for CH3, but we will maintain CH3 till all of our partners have moved to CH4
- Co-design effort
  - Weekly telecons with partners to discuss design and development issues
- Two primary objectives:
  - Low-instruction count communication
    - Ability to support high-level network APIs (OFI, UCX, Portals 4)
    - E.g., tag-matching in hardware, direct PUT/GET communication
  - Support for very high thread concurrency
    - Improvements to message rates in highly threaded environments (MPI_THREAD_MULTIPLE)
    - Support for multiple network endpoints (THREAD_MULTIPLE or not)
REDUCING OVERHEAD

- UCX
- OFI
- Portals 4
- MPI
- CH4
- CH4 Fallback
- CH4/OFI Inline
- CH4 Fallback

**MPI_Isend**

**MPI_Put**
OPTIMIZATIONS FOR MPI+THREAD

- Proposed solution: Work-Queue Model
  - One or multiple work-queues per endpoint
  - Decouple blocking and nonblocking operations
  - Nonblocking operations enqueue work descriptors and leave if critical section held
  - Threads issue work on behalf of other threads when acquiring a critical section
  - Nonblocking operations are truly nonblocking

- Multiple isolated work-queues
  - Transparent to the user
  - E.g. one Work-Queue per communicator, per neighbor process (regular apps)
### Virtual Communication Interface (VCI)

- Each VCI abstracts a set of network resources
- Some networks support multiple VCIs: InfiniBand contexts, scalable endpoints over Intel Omni-Path
- Traditional MPI implementation uses single VCI
  - Serializes all traffic
  - Does not fully exploit network hardware resources

### Utilizing multiple VCIs to maximize independence in communication

- Separate VCIs per communicator or per RMA window
- Distribute traffic between VCIs with respect to ranks, tags, and generally out-of-order communication
- M-N mapping between Work-Queues and VCIs
CURRENT EXPERIENCE

- **Findings**
  - Several bottlenecks inside MPICH
  - Librabric over psm2 exhibit good scalability with independent TX, RX, and CQs
  - Almost 100% parallel efficiency within a NUMA Node
  - Around 85% parallel efficiency when crossing NUMA boundaries

- **Problems**
  - Performance anomalies with different COMM/context mapping
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WHAT IS THIS TALK ABOUT?

- Not specifically an MPICH talk.
- Mostly talking about how MPICH uses libfabric
- How do we manage the feature set of libfabric vs. the needs of MPICH?
- Squarely in “Guru” territory from Sean Hefty’s 2015 OFA Workshop talk
How can MPICH use libfabric for portable interconnects with maximum performance?

- 🎉 Best part of libfabric: It can support almost everything (both inter- and intra-node communication)
- 🙁 Worst part of libfabric: It can support almost everything (both inter- and intra-node communication)
- 😞 MPICH uses static inlines for most internal function calls (can’t use function pointers).

How does MPICH deal with the huge number of available features in libfabric?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint Types</th>
<th>bgq</th>
<th>gni</th>
<th>mlx</th>
<th>nd</th>
<th>psm</th>
<th>psm2</th>
<th>rxd</th>
<th>rxm</th>
<th>Modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FI_EP_DGRAM</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FI_ASYNC_IOD, R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_EP_MSG</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FI_BUFFERED_RECV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_EP_RDM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_ALLOCATED, R, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_ENDPOINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_LOCAL, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_PROV_KEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_BMU_NOTIFY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_RAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_RMA_EVENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_MR_BMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_SR_ALLOCATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_SR_BASIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FI_SR_SCALABLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memory Registration Modes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modes</th>
<th>bgq</th>
<th>gni</th>
<th>mlx</th>
<th>nd</th>
<th>psm</th>
<th>psm2</th>
<th>rxd</th>
<th>rxm</th>
<th>shm</th>
<th>sockets</th>
<th>tcp</th>
<th>udp</th>
<th>usnic</th>
<th>verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_ALLOCATED</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_ENDPOINT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_LOCAL</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_PROV_KEY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_BMU_NOTIFY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_RAW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_MR_RMA_EVENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_SR_ALLOCATOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_SR_BASIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_SR_SCALABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Features:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>bgq</th>
<th>gni</th>
<th>mlx</th>
<th>nd</th>
<th>psm</th>
<th>psm2</th>
<th>rxd</th>
<th>rxm</th>
<th>shm</th>
<th>sockets</th>
<th>tcp</th>
<th>udp</th>
<th>usnic</th>
<th>verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FABRIC_DIRECT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI_RM_ENABLED</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalable endpoints</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counters (local operations)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counters (remote operations)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counters (remote operations)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAPABILITY SETS

- Capability Sets allow MPICH to detect (or be told by the user) the best provider and configuration for performance.
- A set of C macro definitions that determine which code path to use.
- Even if MPICH did use function pointers, there’s so many options that it would probably be impractical to make one for each feature.
- Adding support for a new provider requires adding a set of definitions to ofi_capability_sets.h

```c
if (ENABLE_TAGGED) {
    fi_tsend(...);
} else {
    /* Send active message */
}
```
\begin{itemize}
\item If we know we will be using a particular provider, we can compile MPICH to only look for that provider’s features.
  \begin{itemize}
  \item This lets the compiler remove most of the branches as the macros become constants
  \end{itemize}
\item If we don’t know which provider we’ll use at compile time, most included providers have good detection of capabilities.
  \begin{itemize}
  \item Includes the branches
  \item Common for packagers or systems that want to be able to support multiple interconnects (e.g. sockets and PSM2)
  \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{Best Case} & \textbf{Common Case} \\
\begin{lstlisting}
if (ENABLE_TAGGED) {
  fi_tsend(...);
} else {
  /* Send active message */
}
\end{lstlisting} & \begin{lstlisting}
if (ENABLE_TAGGED) {
  fi_tsend(...);
} else {
  /* Send active message */
}
\end{lstlisting}
\end{tabular}
HIERARCHY – COMPILE TIME USER CHOICE

- All of the branches go away
- Fastest performance
- Good for compiling on a specific production system
- Usually requires specific library and provider version
  - No fallback if expected features are not found
HIERARCHY – RUNTIME USER CHOICE

- Compiles in support for all combinations of capabilities
- User specifies desired provider at startup
  - `MPIR_CVAR_OFI_USE_PROVIDER=psm2 mpiexec -n 16 ./a.out`
- **Usually still requires specific library and provider version**
  - Will fallback to one of the lesser performance options if no match.
HIERARCHY – RUNTIME USER CHOICE (WITH TWEAKS)

- Compiles in support for all combinations of capabilities
- User specifies desired provider at startup
  - `MPIR_CVAR_OFI_USE_PROVIDER=psm2 mpiexec -n 16 ./a.out`
- Can add specific feature tweaks to turn capabilities on/off if desired
  - `MPIR_CVAR_OFI_USE_PROVIDER=psm2 MPIR_CVAR_OFI_ENABLE_TAGGED=0 mpiexec -n 16 ./a.out`
- Usually still requires specific library and provider version
  - Will fallback to one of the lesser performance options if no match.
HIERARCHY – NO USER CHOICE (KNOWN PROVIDER)

- Mostly the same result as when the user chooses a provider
- As long as we can match a provider to a capability set, the performance is still fine
Don’t know about the provider

Still meets some good default requirements
  • Tagged messages
  • Completion queue data
  • Some memory region keys
  • RMA

Doesn’t use some fancier features
  • Scalable endpoints
  • Shared contexts
  • Auto progress

Could be better/worse
- Don’t know about the provider and doesn’t support default features
- Everything falls back to active messages
- Minimal requirements from libfabric
  - FI_MSG
  - FI_MULTI_RECV
  - FI_RMA

Didn’t crash
LOTS OF CAPABILITIES DETECTED

- AV_TABLE/AV_MAP
- Scalable Endpoints
- Shared Context
- Memory Region Bits
  - VIRT_ADDR
  - PROV_KEY
  - ALLOCATED
- FI_TAGGED
- FI_MSG
- FI_MULTI_RECV
- FI_DELIVERY_COMPLETE
- FI_ATOMICS
- AUTO_PROGRESS
- FI_TRIGGERED
- Library and provider versions
- FI_CONTEXT/FI_CONTEXT2
- MPICH-Specific combinations
**PROS / CONS**

- 👍 One library supports almost all interconnects (with shared memory up and coming).
- 👍 Moves all of the network-specific code (PSM2, Portals, etc.) out of MPICH
- 👍 Provides lots of customization options for users

- 👎 Latest and greatest hardware implements “optional” features.
  - All providers don’t implement all features, requiring fallback code.
- 👎 Keeping the capability sets up to date is a manual and error prone process.
- 👎 The startup code for MPICH became immensely complicated.
CALL TO ACTION

▪ Can utility providers help narrow the feature gap without loss of performance?
▪ How do applications handle features that require hardware support or will have bad performance?
▪ Could libfabric expose more information at compile time (e.g. through dynamically generated headers) to optimize application builds?
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Most of development work for Open MPI’s OFI MTL and BTL components have been done by the following developers:

- Aravind Gopalakrishnan
- Neil Spruit
- Matias A. Cabral
- Thananon Patinyasadikul
OUTLINE

▪ Open MPI’s point to point messaging framework (PML) use of OFI libfabric
▪ Open MPI’s RDMA byte transport framework (BTL) use of OFI libfabric
▪ Issues encountered using OFI libfabric
▪ Future work
## Open MPI Messaging Layer and OFI Libfabric

### MPI Application

- **MPI messaging API**: `MPI_Send`, `MPI_Recv`, etc.
- **PML (p2p messaging layer) base**
  - OB1 (message matching)

### BTL Base

- UCX
- cm
- cm-MTL
- PML-MTL
- OB1

### Vendor Packages

- **UCX**
- **OFI MTL**
- **PSM MTL**
- **PSM2 MTL**
- **PORTALS MTL**

### External Projects

- **OB1**
- **uGNI**
- **TCP**
- **Vader**
- **portals4**
- **cm**
- **PSM**
- **PSM2**
- **MXM**
- **yalla**

### Community Properties

- **Community property but a specific owner/maintainer**
- **Community property**

### External Projects

- **Open UCX**
- **OFI Libfabric**
- **PSM**
- **PSM2**
- **portals4**
- **IP stack**
- **UGNI**
- **ofi**
- **uNIC**

---

OpenFabrics Alliance Workshop 2019
OPEN MPI’S USE OF LIBFABRIC – OFI MTL

- **Release series 3.0.x and 3.1.x**
  - FI_VERSION requested 1.0 or newer
  - Excluded vs included providers – excluded shm,sockets,tcp,udp,rstream
  - Endpoint types – FI_EP_RDM
  - Capabilities requested – FI_TAGGED
  - Don’t request memory registration model (not needed for messaging)

- **Release series 4.0.x**
  - FI_VERSION requested 1.0 or newer
  - Excluded vs included providers – excluded shm,sockets,tcp,udp,rstream
  - Endpoint types – FI_EP_RDM
  - Capabilities requested – FI_TAGGED, optional FI_DIRECTED_RECV and FI_RX_CQ_DATA (related to tag match expansion, we could use more than the 64 bit tag provided by libfabric API)

- **In the works (only on master)**
  - Same as 4.0.x but additionally –
  - Optional use of Scalable Endpoints (SEP)
  - Specialized function generation
▪ **AUTO (default)**
  - Open MPI checks whether the selected OFI provider supports FI_REMOTE_CQ_DATA and FI_DIRECTED_RECV and if yes and user hasn’t specified other tag format, use `ofi_tag_full`

▪ **ofi_tag_1**
  - Uses OFI 64 bit tag for source rank, CID, and MPI tag
  - 12 bits for CID, 18 bits for source rank, 32 bits for MPI tag

▪ **ofi_tag_2**
  - Like `ofi_tag_1` except 24 bits for CID, 18 bits for source rank, and 20 bits for MPI tag

▪ **ofi_tag_full**
  - Requires that provider support FI_RX_CQ_DATA and FI_DIRECTED_RECV
  - Source MPI rank available in the RX CQE is used
  - OFI tag bits are divided between 28 bits for CID, 32 bits for MPI tag. (may less if provider uses some tag bits)

▪ **Controlled via OMPi_MCA_mtl_ofi_tag_mode environment variable (or mca parameter on mpirun command line)**
• Targeting better mixed mode MPI+threads applications
• Disabled by default since in some cases regular FI_EP_RDM performs better
• When a new MPI communicator is created
  • Check if number of tx/rx contexts created so far is less than max rx_ctx_cnt/tx_ctx_cnt
  • If yes, create new tx/rx context pair
  • Assign to the MPI communicator
  • Otherwise use round robin approach to assign MPI communicator to previously created tx/rx context pair
• Need to set following MCA parameters (using environment variable method)
  • export OMPI_MCA_mtl_ofi_enable_sep=1
  • export OMPI_MCA_mtl_ofi_thread_grouping=1
  • Optionally can request number of tx/rx/contexts to use:
    • export OMPI_MCA_mtl_ofi_num_ctxxts=N
• Progress
  • Thread first progresses its context
  • If no CQ entries on thread’s libfabric CQs, progress other threads’ contexts.
OPEN MPI – MPI RMA (ONE-SIDED) AND OFI LIBFABRIC

**MPI Application**

- MPI RMA API
- One Sided Component (RMA) Framework
  - UCX
  - Portals4
  - Pt2Pt
  - RDMA (rcache)
  - Shared memory
- BTL Base
  - OMPI Pt2Pt
  - uGNI
  - libfabric
  - UCT
- Posix SHMEM, CPU atomic ops, etc.

Not depicted: components specific to a vendor release of Open MPI, e.g. IBM Spectrum MPI's OSC component.

Community effort

External component/project

Vendor Portals4 implementation

TCP/Posix SHMEM, etc.

OpenUCX

uGNI (Cray)

OpenFabrics Alliance Workshop 2019
Many enhancements over available MPI one-sided support in the 2.0.x and 2.1.x series
Driven partly by ECP OMPI-X effort
Emphasis on MPI-RMA performance for multi-threaded applications
  • Lock scaling improvements for MPI_Win_lock_all
  • Much improved registration cache optimized for concurrent reads
    • Replaced with interval tree, relativistic ordering, there is now only a write lock to do node insertion, rotation, or deletion, so typical read-only lookup’s are fast
Improved scalability of memory use for MPI Windows
Uses Open MPI BTL’s that support RMA (fi_write, fi_read, fi_atomic, etc.) operations
Decided it would be easier to write an OFI BTL rather than undertake writing an OFI OSC component
See N. Hjelm, et al. Improving MPI Multi-threaded RMA Communication Performance, ICPP 2018 Proceedings of the 47th International Conference on Parallel Processing
OPEN MPI’S USE OF LIBFABRIC – OFI BTL

- Only available in master
  - FI_VERSION requested 1.5 or newer
  - No explicit exclusion of providers
  - Endpoint types – FI_EP_RDM
  - Capabilities requested - FI_RMA | FI_ATOMIC (optional FI_MSG), also FI_DELIVERY_COMPLETE
  - mr_mode - FI_MR_ALLOCATED | FI_MR_PROV_KEY | FI_MR_VIRT_ADDR

- Makes use of scalable EP’s if provider supports them

- Unfortunately doesn’t work with OFI MTL for certain OFI providers, PSM2 provider in particular (providers that have limited ability to support multiple initializations are problematic)
### CHALLENGES USING OFI LIBFABRIC

- The number of potential paths to support for functions in the critical path for message transmit/receive, etc. grows quickly as more libfabric functionality is optionally used
  - Partially solved by specialized function generation (OFI MTL)
- Some providers have characteristics that make life more difficult
  - Performance of `fi_send`/`fi_recv` can be impacted by whether or not `FI_RMA`/`FI_ATOMIC` was requested as a capability
  - Some providers don’t support multiple `fi_domain` and/or `fi_endpoint` very well, resulting in problems having separate OFI MTL and OFI BTL components
▪ Consider implementing a standalone OFI OSC component
▪ Consider implementing a OFI PML component (reduce dependence on CM infrastructure)
▪ Persistent memory awareness
15th ANNUAL WORKSHOP 2019

THANK YOU

Howard Pritchard

Los Alamos National Laboratory
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WHAT IS OPENSHMEM?

- Partitioned Global Address Space (PGAS) memory model, SPMD execution
- Part of the memory in a process is exposed for remote access
  - Remotely accessible memory is “symmetric”; it has the same size and layout at each process
  - Asynchronous read (get), write (put), and atomic update operations
- Fence (ordering), quiet (remote completion), barrier (global sync), wait (pt-to-pt sync)
- Library thread safety levels similar to MPI

- Communication is performed on a context

- Enables programmer to choose which operations are completed by quiet or ordered by fence
  - Application-level communication/computation overlap
  - Eliminate interference between threads

- Contexts can be private or shared
  - Private, only usable by thread that created the context
  - Shared, usable by any thread
  - Want to manage OFI TX contexts to optimize private/shared

- OpenSHMEM defines a “default” context
  - Legacy API calls are tied to this context
  - It’s always shared
OPENSHMEM 1.4

- Open standard for the SHMEM programming model
- Specification ratified Dec. 14, 2017
  - Thread safety
  - Communication management API (contexts)
  - Test (pt-to-pt sync), sync (barrier), calloc (sym. memory)
  - Bitwise atomic operations
  - C11 generic selection bindings

*Other names and brands may be claimed as property of others
Hard at work on OpenSHMEM 1.5
- Add teams and refresh collectives API
- Enable collectives acceleration
  - E.g. using OFI triggered operations
- Improve point-to-point synchronization
  - Add test/wait – some/any/all
  - Add put-with-signal API (uses FI_FENCE)
- Nonblocking atomic operations
- Profiling interfaces
- Memory model work to improve performance portability

Additional topics under discussion
- Support for symmetric objects in different memory kinds
- Interoperability with MPI and other hybrid models
- Join us and add your topic here!

Intel engaged in Sandia OpenSHMEM development
- Open source, supports Intel® Omni-Path Fabric
- Supports OFI and Portals 4, first to support OpenSHMEM 1.3 and 1.4
- https://github.com/Sandia-OpenSHMEM/SOS
SANDIA OPENSHMEM 1.4.X OFI TRANSPORT ARCHITECTURE

OpenFabrics Alliance Workshop 2019
PROVIDER REQUIREMENTS TO SUPPORT OPENSHMEM

- Reliable, connectionless communication model: FI_EP_RDM
- Communication operations: FI_RMA | FI_ATOMIC
  - Require FI_INJECT for performance of scalar put and AMO, want FI_FENCE for put-with-signal operations
  - Collectives operate on symmetric buffers and can use RMA/ATOMIC (important difference from MPI)
    - Some implementations may use FI_TAGGED to share code with MPI (SOS currently does not)
- Endpoint TX/RX are treated separately; RX at process level, TX at ctx/thread level
  - STX (optional) allows SOS to optimize mapping of threads to TX resources
- OpenSHMEM requires an asynchronous, “passive” target
  - Ideally, FI_PROGRESS_AUTO without a progress thread
  - Can supplement with in-line progress, working on progress thread to support RXM
- FI_THREAD_SAFE is good, in principle
  - FI_THREAD_COMPLETION can provide better thread isolation, eliminating locking for private contexts
- Memory registration: FI_MR_SCALABLE is efficient, no need to store/lookup keys
  - Also support FI_MR_BASIC and FI_RMA_EVENT. Need to support others?
1. **OpenSHMEM really does require asynchronous progress**
   - Most applications have high messaging rates with small messages
   - On-loading auto (asynchronous) progress works for some communication patterns (benchmarks), but few apps
   - Inline manual progress works for even fewer communication patterns

2. **Corollary: On-loading atomics is a challenge for OpenSHMEM**
   - OpenSHMEM atomics are single-element and do not require atomicity across datatypes (e.g. int, long, etc.)
   - Hardware atomics only on 64-bit data with fetch-add and CAS still covers performance critical cases
   - Middleware should control whether to emulate atomics using HW or to use SW
   - User will choose tradeoff between latency of atomics and dedicating cores to on-loading

3. **Libfabric atomicity and memory model are underspecified**
   - Atomic across all datatypes and ops? Interaction with Read/write? What about different EPs on the same domain?
   - How can a process portably poll memory for an atomic update or write? FI_ATOMIC_READ … or?
   - How does a process ensure memory is consistent, e.g. flush an atomics cache in the NIC?

4. **Want to use collectives acceleration, including switch-based acceleration**

5. **Want one thread per core to provide the same performance as one process per core**
   - Scale up resources within a process and drive with multiple threads and low overheads
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